Case 2:14-cv-01185-APG-PAL Document 23 Filed 03/09/16 Page 1 of 2

| 1 | However, given the length of this extension of time, the court will not be inclined to further |
|----|---|
| 2 | extend this deadline absent extraordinary circumstances. If Barraza does not file a reply by |
| 3 | July 29, 2016, the court will rule on the merits of the remaining claims in her habeas petition |
| 4 | without the benefit of a reply. |
| 5 | On March 7, 2016, Barraza also filed a motion for appointment of counsel (ECF No. 21). |
| 6 | Barraza previously requested appointment of counsel in a motion filed on July 27, 2015 (ECF |
| 7 | No. 13). The court denied that motion on August 4, 2016 (ECF No. 16). There has been no |
| 8 | significant change in circumstances with respect to Barraza's request for appointment of counsel. |
| 9 | The court will deny Barraza's March 7, 2016, motion for appointment of counsel for the reasons |
| 10 | stated in the order entered August 4, 2016 (ECF No. 16). |
| 11 | IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that petitioner's motion for extension of time (ECF |
| 12 | No. 20) is GRANTED . Petitioner shall have until and including July 29, 2016 , to file a reply to |
| 13 | respondents' answer. |
| 14 | IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that petitioner's motion for appointment of counsel (ECF |
| 15 | No. 21) is DENIED . |
| 16 | Dated: March 9, 2016. |
| 17 | |
| 18 | UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE |
| 19 | CIVILD STATES DISTRICT JUDGE |
| 20 | |
| 21 | |
| 22 | |
| 23 | |
| 24 | |
| 25 | |
| 26 | |